

JNITED STATEM ARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	DINVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
107405 EZ-	02/21/09	NISHIBE		Υ	057234
		HM12/1219	7	EXAMINER	
SUSSERLI MION ZONN				PULLI	AM,A
MACPEAK % SEAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	NEYLVANIA AVENUE NW 161		1615	b	
				DATE MAILED): 12/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/446,276	NISHIBE ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Amy E Pulliam	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 L	<u> December 1999</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).							
The state of the s							
Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	19) Notice of Informal	y (P10-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-00)

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the Information Disclosure Statement, and the Request for a Corrected Filing Receipt, received December 21, 1999, and May 31, 2000, respectively.

Claim Objections

Claims 8-30 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend on another multiple dependant claim. Some of these claims are rejected because they depend on an improper multiple dependant claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims all cite the phrase "water-low soluble" and it is unclear to the examiner what is meant by this phrase.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,276

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 4-10, 13-19, and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,976,573 to Kim. Kim discloses an aqueous pharmaceutical composition for application to the mucosal surface of the nasal cavity (abstract). Kim further teaches that the composition includes water, a medicament, a suspending agent comprising microcrystalline cellulose and carboxymethyl cellulose, as well as polysorbate 80 (c 13, claims 5 and 8). Additionally, Kim teaches that the composition preferably includes an iso-osmotic agent, such as sodium chloride, in order to prevent irritation of the mucosa (c 6, I 50-55). Therefore, Kim anticipates the limitations of the above mentioned claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,276

Art Unit: 1615

Kim does not teach the inclusion of a hemostatic agent. However, it is the position of the examiner that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a hemostatic agent in a mucosal formulation, so as to prevent any unwanted bleeding from the surface of the tender mucosal tissue. Absent any evidence to the contrary, the examiner sees no criticality placed on the presence of a hemostatic agent in the formulation. Further, Kim does not teach all of the examples of applicant's claimed osmotic controlling agents or water soluble polymers. However it is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any osmotic agent, or water soluble polymer which is known in the pharmaceutical art, based on the teachings of Kim. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to create an aqueous pharmaceutical composition for mucosal administration comprising an osmotic agent, a drug, a water soluble polymer, a water insoluble substance, a surfactant, and other additives, such as a hemostatic agent, based on the teachings of Kim. The expected result would be a successful and safe mucosal formulation. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is (703) 308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone



Art Unit: 1615

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7922 for regular communications and (703) 308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Amy E. Pulliam Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615 December 15, 2000

> THURMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENTS EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CHATER 1600